

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,080	01/30/2001	Nobutaka Taniguchi	100353-00037	8190
•	7590 08/24/20		EXAM	INER
	KINTNER PLOT	BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL		
	CTICUT AVENUE,	N.W.	ADTIBUT	DADED MAR COED
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	2631		

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	u X				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/772,080	TANIGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin M. Burd	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ne 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 3 and 7-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3,7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/772,080 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

1. This office action, in response to the amendment filed 6/15/2005, is a final office action.

Response to Amendment

- 2. The previous office action indicated claims 8, 10 and 11 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the instant application's disclosed prior art. However, the rejection of the claims describes the claims being rejected under Wang et al (US 6,448,820) in view of the instant application's disclosed prior art. The heading has been corrected and the examiner apologizes for any confusion this error created.
- 3. The newly added claim limitations are addressed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 6,448,820) in view of the instant application's disclosed prior art, specifically figure 1.

Regarding claims 8, 10 and 11, Wang discloses a delay adjusting circuit in a phase locking loop shown in figure 5. A variable delay circuit is disclosed in element

533. This delay circuit is implemented using a number of buffers or inverters connected in a ring oscillator arrangement (column 6, lines 61-63). The delay circuit 533 outputs a signal to the divider 539. The divider 539 generates clock feedback to the phase comparator 516. The divider circuit divides the frequency of the clock output by an amount from 1 to about 256 (column 7, lines 1-4). The phase comparator compares the phases of the input signal and the frequency divided feedback signal (figure 5 and column 7, lines 5-20). The output of the phase comparator 516 outputs signals to the charge pump. The charge pump will output a control signal 529 to adjust some delay cells 533 to maintain lock or phase relationship (column 6, lines 58-60). The frequency of the divided signal can be less than the frequency of the input signal. The PLL will attempt of lock these signals to the same frequency.

Page 3

Wang does not disclose using an input buffer, an output buffer and a dummy circuit to delay a signal from the frequency divider by a fixed delay time. However, the instant application's disclosed prior art shows, in figure 1, the input and output buffers and a dummy circuit delaying the signal from the frequency divider. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the buffers and dummy circuit of the instant application's disclosed prior art into the delay adjusting circuit of Wang. The dummy circuit allows the signal propagation delay to be equal so the phase relationship of the feedback clock signal and the input clock signal will be the same. The fixed delay will allows that only small adjustments to be made in the delay cells of Wang reducing the complexity of that circuitry.

Art Unit: 2631

5. Claims 3, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (US 6,448,820) in view of Hanke, III et al (US 5,376,848) further in view of the instant application's disclosed prior art, specifically figure 1.

Regarding claims 3, 7 and 9, Wang discloses a delay adjusting circuit in a phase locking loop shown in figure 5. A variable delay circuit is disclosed in element 533. This delay circuit is implemented using a number of buffers or inverters connected in a ring oscillator arrangement (column 6, lines 61-63). The delay circuit 533 outputs a signal to the divider 539. The divider 539 generates clock feedback to the phase comparator 516. The divider circuit divides the frequency of the clock output by an amount from 1 to about 256 (column 7, lines 1-4). The phase comparator compares the phases of the input signal and the frequency divided feedback signal (figure 5 and column 7, lines 5-20). The output of the phase comparator 516 outputs signals to the charge pump. The charge pump will output a control signal 529 to adjust some delay cells 533 to maintain lock or phase relationship (column 6, lines 58-60). The frequency of the divided signal can be less than the frequency of the input signal. The PLL will attempt of lock these signals to the same frequency. Wang does not disclose dividing the input signal by a fist division rate. Hanke discloses a delay matching circuit shown in figures 5 and 6. Figure 6 discloses a divider circuit capable of dividing the input signal by a number of values to ensure the input signal and the output signal are phase matched. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Hanke into the apparatus and method of Wang. By dividing the input

Art Unit: 2631

frequency, the original signal is locked to the output signal and a more accurate delay adjustment is formed (column 8, lines 31-37).

The combination of Wang and Hanke does not disclose using an input buffer, an output buffer and a dummy circuit to delay a signal from the frequency divider by a fixed delay time. However, the instant application's disclosed prior art shows, in figure 1, the input and output buffers and a dummy circuit delaying the signal from the frequency divider. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the buffers and dummy circuit of the instant application's disclosed prior art into the delay adjusting circuit of the combination of Wang and Hanke. The dummy circuit allows the signal propagation delay to be equal so the phase relationship of the feedback clock signal and the input clock signal will be the same. The fixed delay will allows that only small adjustments to be made in the delay cells of the combination reducing the complexity of that circuitry.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/772,080 Page 6

Art Unit: 2631

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin M. Burd 8/22/2005

KEVIN BURD PRIMARY EXAMINER